

File With

SECTION 131 FORM

Appeal No

ABP— 314485-22

Defer Re O/H

☐

Having considered the contents of the submission dated/received 14/12/2023
from Teresa Sweeney I recommend that section 131 of the Planning
and Development Act, 2000 be/not be invoked at this stage for the following reason(s):

no new material issues

Section 131 not to be invoked at this stage.

☒

Section 131 to be invoked — allow 2/4 weeks for reply.

☐

Signed

Pat B.

Date

21/12/2023

EO

Signed

Date

SEO/SAO

M

Please prepare BP — Section 131 notice enclosing a copy of the attached submission.

To

Task No

Allow 2/3/4 weeks

BP

Signed

Date

EO

Signed

Date

AA



An
Bord
Pleanála

Planning Appeal Online Observation

Online Reference
NPA-OBS-003024

Online Observation Details

Contact Name
Teresa Sweeney

Lodgement Date
14/12/2023 17:32:21

Case Number / Description
314485

Payment Details

Payment Method
Online Payment

Cardholder Name
Teresa Sweeney

Payment Amount
€50.00

Processing Section

S.131 Consideration Required

☒ Yes — See attached 131 Form

☐ N/A — Invalid

Signed

Pat B

EO

Date

21/12/2023

Fee Refund Requisition

Please Arrange a Refund of Fee of

€ 50

Lodgement No

LDG— 068916-23

Reason for Refund

Overpaid - No fee required (existing participant)

Documents Returned to Observer

☐ Yes ☒ No

Request Emailed to Senior Executive Officer for Approval

☒ Yes ☐ No

Signed

Pat B

EO

Date

21/12/2023

Finance Section

Payment Reference

ch_3ONIIIB1CW0EN5FC0YBGx4Kg

Checked Against Fee Income Online

EO/AA (Accounts Section)

Amount

€

Refund Date

Authorised By (1)

SEO (Finance)

Authorised By (2)

Chief Officer/Director of Corporate Affairs/SAO/Board
Member

Date

Date

Teresa Sweeney

Newpark

The Ward

Co Dublin

D11EF2R

0857860755

An Bord Pleanála Ref:PL06F.314485 Planning Authority Case: F20A/0668

Dublin Airport, Co Dublin

Dear Inspector

I am submitting this observation to request that planning permission be refused to the DAA for the following reasons

Firstly, the applicant is submitting flight paths different to the flight paths originally granted permission. These new flight paths have been in use for the last year – therefore the applicant is applying for retention, which should not be permitted for this type of development.

Secondly, they are asking for an increase in the operating hours of the north runway. I am currently directly flown over every morning by planes departing the north runway at 7am. This awakens my young children also. The World Health organization recommends 10-13hours of sleep for young children. These new operating hours would mean my children would only be able to get six hours of sleep. This is unacceptable for an adult to function well, let alone a young child.

Thirdly they are asking to remove the cap of 65 flights at night for a noise quota system. They have exceeded 65 flights at night for many years. They have acted with disregard for our community and broken planning laws. They simply wish to have a less transparent system in order to continue to break these laws.

I have experienced a year of hell since the opening of the north runway. I ask that the applicant have the same law applied to them as the rest of the country. They have continually broken their current planning. Corporate greed should not be allowed to run the lives of hard working people and their children.

Yours

Dr Teresa Sweeney